



What's in Your EM&V Genome? Improving Transparency and Understanding of EM&V Practices through Standardized Reporting

Julie Michals, NEEP

Paper Co-Authored with Arlis Reynolds
(Cadmus Group), and David Jacobson
(Jacobson Energy Research)


2015 IEPEC Conference — Long Beach, California

OVERVIEW

- About NEEP
- Background: Standardized EM&V Methods Reporting Forms
- The Forms: Study and Program Level Reporting
- State Pilots: MA Results to Date
- Next Steps


ABOUT NEEP

Regional EM&V Forum



STANDARDIZED EM&V METHODS REPORTING

A Project of the Regional EM&V Forum



Overarching Goal: Build transparency and understanding of EM&V practices to support inclusion of EE resources in state, regional and national energy & environmental policies and markets

WHY STANDARDIZED EM&V METHODS REPORTING?

The 'Food Label' for Various Audiences

PUCs, DEPs, EPA, SEOs, system planners, PAs, evaluation consultants want to know:

- What EM&V methods were used to estimate reported savings?
- How rigorous are the reported EE savings?
- How do EM&V methods compare across states?
- How do EM&V methods used align with existing state, regional or national EM&V protocols?
- Where should I focus my attention in review of specific studies and program EM&V?
- How can I streamline my evaluation review process and reduce administrative costs?

Nutrition Facts	
Serving Size 1 Banana (130g)	
Servings per Container 1	
Amount Per Serving	
Calories 300	Calories from Fat 130
% Daily Value*	
Total Fat 16g	24%
Saturated Fat 8g	38%
Trans Fat 0g	
Cholesterol 0g	0%
Sodium 15mg	1%
Potassium 320mg	9%
Total Carbohydrate 44g	15%
Dietary Fiber 4g	16%
Sugars 32g	
Protein 2g	
Vitamin A	2%
Vitamin C	15%
Calcium	2%
Iron	6%
Riboflavin (Vitamin B2)	4%
Vitamin B6	15%
Folate	4%
Magnesium	6%
Copper	4%
Manganese	10%
* Percent Daily Values are based on a 2000 calorie diet. Your daily values may be higher or lower, depending on your calorie needs:	
	Calories 2,000 2,500
Total Fat	Less than 65g 80g
Sat Fat	Less than 20g 25g
Cholesterol	Less than 300mg 300mg
Sodium	Less than 2,400mg 2,400mg
Total Carbohydrate	300g 375g
Dietary Fiber	25g 30g

PROJECT TEAM AND STAKEHOLDERS

Extensive Input in Developing the Forms...

- **NEEP** – Julie Michals, Project Manager
- **Cadmus Group** – Developed on-line forms, conducting state pilots & training, modifications to forms, develop database – **Arlis Reynolds, Project Manager**
- **Technical Advisors** – Steve Schiller (for LBNL); David Jacobson (Jacobson Energy Research)
- **Forum Project Advisory Committee**
 - Forum state representatives (PUC, DEP, SEO reps) and respective evaluation consultants
 - Program Administrators
 - DOE, EPA, NESCAUM
 - Regional system planning reps (ISO New England)

THE FORMS – DIGITAL PLATFORM

The screenshot shows a web browser window with the address bar displaying "191.237.21.11/fmi/webd#NEEP_EMV_REPORTS". The browser's address bar also shows "NEEP_EMV_REPORTS (CAD... x" and a "+" icon. The browser's search bar shows "Google". The browser's tabs show "Most Visited" and "Getting Started". The browser's toolbar shows a "Quick Find" search bar and a "Total 1" indicator.

The main content area features the NEEP logo (Regional Evaluation, Measurement & Verification Forum) on the left and the CADMUS logo (Developed by The Cadmus Group) on the right. The central text reads: "Welcome to the EM&V Methods Standardized Reporting Forms", "A Project of the Regional EM&V Forum", and "Facilitated and managed by Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships, Inc.".

Below the welcome text, there are two buttons for creating new forms: "PROGRAM EM&V METHODS SUMMARY FORM" and "IMPACT EVALUATION STUDY EM&V METHODS SUMMARY FORM". Each button has an information icon (i) and a clock icon. Below these buttons are two links: "Click here to access the full User Guide..." and "E-mail questions or comments about the forms...".

At the bottom, a footer states: "This Project is supported by funding from the [Regional EM&V Forum States](#), the US Department of Energy, and the Lawrence Berkeley National Lab." Below this text are the logos for the U.S. Department of Energy and Berkeley Lab.

THE FORMS

Two Levels

Study Form

- Accompanies evaluation study
- Summarizes objectives, **methods**, results, and application of results
- Responds to need for quick digestion and comparison of EM&V methods used
- Primary audiences: state energy and air regulators, PAs, evaluation consultants, regional system planners

Program Form

- Accompanies annual reports
- Summarizes **general** methods and **precision** for EE portfolios
- Responds to need for basic information about EM&V practices and high-level summary of EM&V rigor for reported savings
- Primary audiences: state energy and air regulators, SEOs, EPA, DOE, regional system planners, PAs

FORM CATEGORIES

Program Form

1 – Program Year Summary

2 – Program **EM&V Methods** Summary

3 – Program **EM&V Rigor** Summary

4 – Relevant EM&V Documents/Protocols

Study Form

1 – General Information

2 – Study Summary and Results

3 – **EM&V Methods** for Gross Savings

4 – **EM&V Methods** for Net Savings


5 – Study **EM&V Rigor** Summary

6 – Relevant EM&V Docs/Protocols

7 – Recommendations


EM&V METHODS

Key Building Blocks of EE Savings Calculation



EM&V METHODS FOR GROSS SAVINGS

Based on SEE Action EE Program Impact Evaluation Guide



IEPEC Long Beach 2015

EM&V METHODS

PROGRAM FORM

EM&V Methods

Methods for Estimating Baseline

Methods for Verifying Installation

Methods - Energy and Demand Savings

Net Savings Estimation

Measure Life

Persistence Estimation

STUDY FORM

EM&V Methods for Gross Savings

Methods for estimating baseline

Method for installation verification

Methods for gross impact analysis

Sampling for gross impact analysis

Data collection methods for gross impacts

EM&V Methods for Net Savings *

Methods for net impact analysis

Sampling for net impact analysis

* Based on US DOE UMP

EM&V RIGOR

Key Elements

Characterizing EM&V Rigor

EM&V Strategy

Data Quality

Sampling Methods

Confidence and Precision

Measurement Methods

EM&V DOCUMENTS

Referencing Protocols Used

Relevant EM&V Documents

National Protocols

Regional/State Protocols

Relevant EM&V Studies

FORM FORMAT

Mix of standardized and 'open-ended'

Methods for Estimating Gross Impacts
Describe and characterize the methods for estimating gross and adjusted gross impacts.

1. Select method(s) for gross impact analysis: [more info...](#)

☐ Deemed savings
☐ Engineering desk review
☐ Measurement & verification
☐ Large scale consumption data analysis
☐ Top-down analysis (macro consumption)
☐ Other (describe below) ☐ Not applicable

2. Select sampling method(s) for gross impact analysis: [more info...](#)

☐ Census ☐ Sample ☐ Other ☐ Not Applicable

Sampling Unit
Participant Sample Size
Non-Participant Sample Size

Provide additional description:



structured response



flexible response

FORM GUIDANCE

User Guide and 'Info' Functions

The image displays two overlapping documents. The background document is a website for the Northeast Energy Partnerships (NEEP) Regional Evaluation, Measurement & Verification Forum. It features a navigation bar with a search box and a 'Quick Find' button. The main heading reads 'Welcome to the EM&V Methods Standardized Reporting Forms', followed by 'A Project of the Regional EM&V Forum' and 'Facilitated and managed by Northeast Energy Partnerships, Inc.'. A prominent button labeled 'PROGRAM EM&V METHODS SUMMARY FORM' is circled in red. Other buttons include 'Click the button below to create a new Program EM&V Summary Form.', 'Click here to access the full User Guide...', and 'IMPACT EVALUATION EM&V METHODS SUMMARY FORM'. Logos for NEEP, U.S. Department of Energy, and Berkeley Lab are visible at the bottom.

The foreground document is a sample 'Characterization of EM&V Rigor' form. It begins with an introduction: 'The following four questions aim to provide information on the overall rigor of the evaluation. In the context of this form, v based on (1) the quality of the data, (2) appropriateness of the way the data was collected, (3) statistical confidence measurement methods.' The form is divided into four sections, each with a 'more info...' button circled in red:

- 1. Data Quality**
 - ☐ All study components are recent and based on primary research.
 - ☐ Most study components are based on recent and secondary research.
 - ☐ Study EM&V components savings are not based on recent research.
- 2. Sampling Method**
 - ☐ All study components use census or random (incl. stratified) sampling methods.
 - ☐ Most study components use census or random (incl. stratified) sampling methods.
 - ☐ Study components use non-random sampling methods.
- 3. Confidence and Precision**
 - ☐ All study components achieve the planned level of confidence and precision.
 - ☐ Some study components achieve the planned level of confidence and precision.
 - ☐ Study components did not achieve the planned confidence and precision levels.
 - ☐ The study does not quantify confidence and precision levels.
- 4. Measurement Methods**
 - ☐ Measurement methods address all major sources of bias.
 - ☐ Measurement methods address some major sources of bias.
 - ☐ Measurement methods do not address potential sources of bias.

At the bottom right, there is a section titled 'Describe your selection:' with four empty rectangular boxes for user input.

STATE PILOTS

Purpose

- Pilots serve to test, modify, and ultimately lead to state regulatory adoption of the standardized forms as the EM&V reporting format submitted by PAs as part of annual EE reports
- Forms will be modified to reflect improvements identified during the pilots
 - Capture study or program scenarios that were not adequately captured in the current forms;
 - Improve user friendliness for completing the forms;
 - Improve user guide descriptions and instructions;
 - Improve clarity on use and interpretation of form data; and
 - Help inform potential development of a database where forms can be saved and queried.

MASSACHUSETTS PILOT

Summer 2015 – in process

Pilot Scope - 2014 Program Year:

- Residential Behavioral Program
 - 10% of statewide MWh savings (1 study)
- Residential Lighting Program
 - 19% of statewide MWh savings (5 studies)
- Large C&I Retrofit Program
 - 26% of statewide MWh savings (21 studies)

Study and **Program** Level Forms being piloted,
but results are in only for Study forms ...

MA PILOT

Feedback to Date – Study Level Forms

- Study forms are quick to complete (< 1 hour)
- Information is available - challenge is characterizing studies consistently
- Description of studies needs fine-tuning (e.g., is 'upstream' a program type or delivery method?, etc.)
- Improve reporting for input parameter studies that don't report gross or net savings
- Study Results
 - Need to clarify reporting results for larger studies with multiple sponsors (all state PAs or reporting PA only?)
 - Not clear which results PAs ultimately use – need to link or cross check with TRMs
- Updating User Guide in parallel with Pilot feedback

EM&V METHODS STANDARDIZED FORMS

Next Steps

1. Complete MA Pilot (August) – fully test Program Form; regulatory review and interpretation; summary report
2. Additional state pilot (Fall 2015) – TBD
3. Training/demos for Forum states, EPA, DOE (Fall 2015)
4. Coordinate with national efforts: EPA SIPs, CPP, TCR EE Registry (on-going); and with other REEOs
5. Modifications to forms (Fall 2015 – Winter 2016)
6. Develop database for completed forms (2016)

Seeking to leverage state funding with US DOE, private foundations, other funding sources...

FOR MORE INFO...

Regional EM&V Forum:

<http://www.neep.org/initiatives/emv-forum>

Steering Committee adopted:

[Standardized EM&V Methods Reporting Forms](#) (in Word)

and on-line filemaker forms: [Digital EM&V Methods Forms](#)

Regional EE Database (REED) – Forum States common
EE savings etc. reporting platform at: [REED](#)



QUESTIONS?

Julie Michals - Director, EM&V Forum: jmichals@neep.org

Regional EM&V Forum
Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships
91 Hartwell Ave Lexington, MA 02421
P: 781.860.9177 www.neep.org